UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,491	07/07/2003	Bryan Loomas	BRONNE00600	8889
40518 LEVINE BAG	7590 10/01/200 ADE HAN LLP	EXAMINER		
2483 EAST BAYSHORE ROAD, SUITE 100			VRETTAKOS, PETER J	
PALO ALTO, CA 94303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3739	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•	•		10/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Diffice Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address − Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner	Office Action Summary		10/615,491	LOOMAS ET AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extrasions of time ranky be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 134(a). In no event, however, may a reply be furnily fixed after 50% (b) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. - Fixed period for reply is specified above, the maximum statistory period will apply and will aspite 50% (6) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. - Fixed period for reply is specified above, the maximum statistory period will apply and will aspite 50% (6) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication, the specified for reply is specified above, the maximum statistory period will apply and will aspite 50% (6) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication, even if smely filed, may reduce any secretary period by the difficult than three maining date of this communication, even if smely filed. They reduce any secretary period by the difficult is specified and the maining date of this communication, even if smely filed. They reduce any secretary period of the specification is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1.43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 24.43 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10 □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The coath or declarati								
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Eatherword to the may be available under the provided of 37 FR1 13/60, in no event, however, may a raply be alrealy fired. Eatherword to the may be available under the provided of 37 FR1 13/60, in no event, however, may a raply be a timely fired. If NO period for reply is appecified about the mains communication. If NO period for reply is appecified about the mains communication. If NO period for reply is appecified about the mains and application. If NO period for reply is appecified about the mains and application. If NO period for reply is appecified about the mains and application. If NO period for reply is appecified about the mains and application. Failus to reply within the set or excented period for reply with the produce any vision and period of this communication. Failus to reply within the set or excented period for reply with the mains glader of this communication. Failus to reply within the set or excented period for reply with the mains glader of this communication. Failus to reply within the set or excented period for reply with the mains glader of this communication. Status I) Seeponsive to communication (s) filled on 05 April 2006 Status Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are allowed. Since this application is a subject to the application of the date of the claim and the proving of the claim and the proving an			Peter J. Vrettakos					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be audicious drift in promision of 37 CFR 1, 139(a). In no event, however, may a neity be timely fixed after SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of 37 CFR 1, 139(a). In no event, however, may a neity be timely fixed after SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period to reply is specified above, the maximum statistory period will apply and will enjoys \$1,00 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than fixed from the order of the communication, even if timely fixed, may reduce any examined paths them adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 05 April 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 24-43 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected to 9 the Examiner. 4) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 3) All b) Some *c Dispose *c Dispo		The MAILING DATE of this communication ap						
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of the map be available under the provision of 37 CPR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply bettiney filed if NO pend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory and will apply and will explice SX (a) MONTHS from the medium date of this communication. Fallute to reply within the act or extended period for reply will by statute, cause the application to become ABMONED (35 U.S. 2, 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if simily filed, may reduce any earned plants them adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.70(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0.5 April 2006. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1.43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 24.43 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 1.23 is/are allowed. 5) □ Claim(s) 1.23 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1.23 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1.23 is/are objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The ordawing(s) filed on 1.54 are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The ordawing(s) filed on 1.54 are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a		or Reply						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>05 April 2006</i> . 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3]□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4]○ Claim(s) <i>1-43</i> Is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <i>24-43</i> is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5]○ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6]○ Claim(s) is/are elpected. 7]○ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8]○ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8]○ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10]□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10]□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: allowed. Application Papers 9]□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10]□ The drawings is event on is/are: allowed. Application Papers 9]□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10]□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11]□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12]□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * ○□ None of. 1□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	VVHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.) period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) M	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 24-43 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 24-43 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05.4	April 2006					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 24-43 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1) Notice of Prafspersor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 30 Notice of Orafspersor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 31 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	3)	,						
Al)								
4a) Of the above claim(s) 24-43 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2 □ Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 30 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Dispositi							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 24-43 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2 □ Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 30 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4)⊠							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 ☐ Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 30 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 50 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
6) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			an nom consideration.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Applicati	on Papers						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		•						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,,,,							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)	11) 🔲	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Solution Transport of Information Patent Application (PTO-152)								
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		-	priority under 35 H.S.C.	\$ 110(a) (d) as (5)				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Att								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	. ,-							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Difformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		` '						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
	3) 🔲 Inforn	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)						
			_					

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

The action is non-final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The Applicant merely claims what already occurs in nature: creating a connection between the lungs and the trachea (i.e. bronchial airways). Furthermore, the Applicant relies upon a vague definition of what constitutes an extra versus inter pleural airway to obviate rejections. Although the trachea is clearly an extrapleural airway at what point does a bronchial airway (which progresses into increasingly smaller airways as it traverses into the lung) become an intrapleural airway? One cannot say that all bronchi are extrapleural or intrapleural because a bronchus can be both. Even more, due to anatomical differences amongst a population can that universal delineation between intra and extrapleural airways even be determined? At this point the Applicant is requiring the Office to provide arguments that ignore this inherent ambiguity involved in categorizing intra versus extrapleural airways, which will lead to prolonged and burdensome prosecution.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,491

Art Unit: 3739

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cooper et al. (6,692,494).

Cooper discloses creating connections between an airway (an "extrapleural airway" such as the trachea is certainly an airway) and lung tissue as disclosed in col. 9:29-32. Cooper discloses creating connections within the lungs as depicted in figure 1d. The Applicant is now attempting to claim creating connections across the pleura of the lungs in the upper/middle lobe as seen in Application figure 11b (element 200D). Considering the similarities in the two figures, the Office asserts that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Cooper by creating channels not only within the lung, but also across the lung into the trachea, both procedures for improving gas flow in patients with compromised pulmonary function. Further, Cooper discloses placing collateral channels in the bronchi in col. 4:22-25, whereas the Applicant argues that an extrapleural airway can be a mainstem bronchus (remarks dated 4-5-06). The Office asserts that a mainstem bronchus is a bronchi and that the bronchi disclosure makes obvious fluidly connected an extrapleural airway and the lung if the position of the bronchi channel is near the point of entry of the lung. Moreover, Cooper discloses making a collateral channel in the upper/middle lobe (col. 4:26-27), which is clearly that

Application/Control Number: 10/615.491

Art Unit: 3739

seen in the instant Application figure 11b (element 200D). Another issue is the determination of when an airway is considered extrapleural versus intrapleural, already elaborated above. The motivation to create a "transpleural airway" is to simply build upon what is already disclosed by Cooper (to make a collateral channel in the bronchi) as well as to make a collateral channel between an **airway** and lung tissue (col. 9:29-32)).

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keast et al. (6,749,606).

Keast discloses creating connections between an **airway** (an "extrapleural airway" such as the trachea is certainly an airway) and lung tissue as disclosed in col. 8:61-63. Keast discloses creating connections within the lungs as depicted in figure 1d. The Applicant is now attempting to claim creating connections across the pleura of the lungs in the upper/middle lobe as seen in Application figure 11b (element 200D). The Office asserts that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Keast by creating channels not only within the lung, but also across the lung into the trachea, both procedures for improving gas flow in patients with compromised pulmonary function. Another issue is the determination of when an airway is considered extrapleural versus intrapleural, already elaborated above. The motivation to create a "transpleural airway" is to simply build upon what is already disclosed by Keast (to make a collateral channel in the bronchi) as well as to make a collateral channel between an **airway** and lung tissue (col. 8:61-63)).

Application/Control Number: 10/615,491

Art Unit: 3739

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laufer et al. (6,629,951).

Laufer discloses creating connections within the lungs as depicted in figure 1d. Laufer discloses creating connections between an airway (an "extrapleural airway" such as the trachea is certainly an airway) and lung tissue as disclosed in col. 9:30-32. The Applicant is now attempting to claim creating connections across the pleura of the lungs in the upper/middle lobe as seen in Application figure 11b (element 200D). Considering the similarities in the two figures, the Office asserts that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Cooper by creating channels not only within the lung, but also across the lung into the trachea, both procedures for improving gas flow in patients with compromised pulmonary function. Further, Cooper discloses placing collateral channels in the bronchi in col. 4:25-28, whereas the Applicant argues that an extrapleural airway can be a mainstem bronchus (remarks dated 4-5-06). The Office asserts that a mainstem bronchus is a bronchi and that the bronchi disclosure makes obvious fluidly connected an extrapleural airway and the lung if the position of the bronchi channel is near the point of entry of the lung. Moreover, Cooper discloses making a collateral channel in the upper/middle lobe (col. 4:26-27), which is clearly that seen in the instant Application figure 11b (element 200D). Another issue is the determination of when an airway is considered extrapleural versus intrapleural, already elaborated above. The motivation to create a "transpleural airway" is to simply build upon what is already disclosed by Laufer (to make a collateral channel in the bronchi)

Application/Control Number: 10/615,491

Art Unit: 3739

Page 6

as well as to make a collateral channel between an **airway** and lung tissue (col. 9:30-32)).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J. Vrettakos whose telephone number is 571-272-4775. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Pete Vrettakos July 16, 2007

ROY D. GIBSON